GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Services - MA&UD Department - Payakapuram Township, Vijayawada - Allegations of irregularities in allotment of plots in the layout of Payakapuram, Vijayawada - Disciplinary Proceedings instituted against Sri Sk. Mahaboob, the then Junior Assistant, VGTMUDA (now Retired) - Inquiry conducted - Charges held proved - Imposition of penalty of 5% cut in pension for a period of five (5) years under Rule-9 of A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980 - Orders - Issued.

MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT (Vig.II.A1) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 447 **Dated: 14-05-2025**

Read the following:-

1. From the G.A. (V&E) Dept., Vigilance report No.98, dated 27.08.2004.
2. Office Proc.No.A2/1999/02 of O/o. VC, VGTMUDA, dated 9.05.2005.
3. Govt.Letter.No.14501/E2/2004, dated 01.09.2009.
4. From the O/o. VC, VGTMUDA (present APCRDA), Proceedings.Rc.No.A2-1985/02, dated.22.06.2020.
5. From the APCRDA, Rc.No.MAU16-OPESQEDIM/3/2023-OM, dated 10.04.2023 (Inquiry report, Rc.No.A2-1985/02, dated 13.08.2020).
6. Govt Memo.No.14501(e-1254679) Vig.II/2004 /A1-5, dated:03.10.2023.
7. Written representation of Sri Sk. Mahaboob, Junior Assistant (Rtd), dated 21.10.2023 received from Commissioner, APCRDA Lr.Rc.No.A2-1999/02, dated 02.11.2023.
8. Govt. Letter No.14501/Vig.II/A1/2004(E-1254679), dated 27.08.2024 & 14.10.2024.
9. From Commissioner, APCRDA Rc.No.MAU61-OPESOEDIM/3/2023-OM, dated 10.01.2025.
10. Govt Memo.No. 14501(e-1254679)Vig.II/2004 /A1 Dated:14-04-2025.
11. Written representation of Sri Sk. Mahaboob, Junior Assistant (Retired), dated.06.05.2025

&&&

O R D E R:

Whereas, based on the Vigilance report in the reference 1st read above, disciplinary proceedings were initiated against Sri Sk. Mahaboob, the then Junior Assistant, VGTMUDA (now Retired), on the allegations of irregularities in allotment of plots in the layout of Payakapuram, Vijayawada, vide reference 2nd read above.

2. In the reference 3rd read above, instructions were issued to the Vice Chairman, VGTMUDA (now APCRDA), to conduct a detailed inquiry by appointing an Inquiry Officer into the charges framed against the charged officer and appoint Presenting Officer under Rule-20 (2) & (5) of A.P.C.S. (CC & A) Rules, 1991.

3. In the reference 4th read above, orders have been issued by the competent authority, appointing Sri G. Kesava Naidu, Joint Director (OM), AMRDA, Vijayawada, as Inquiry Officer to inquire into the charges framed against the charged officer.

(Cont..2)

4. In the reference 5th read above, the Inquiry Officer submitted his Inquiry report and stated that out of eight (8) charges framed against the charged officer, four (4) charges were proved, two (2) charges were partly proved, and two (2) charges were held not proved.

5. Government have examined the Inquiry Report in detail and accepted the findings of the Inquiry Officer. Accordingly, in the reference 6th read above, a copy of the Inquiry Report was communicated to the charged officer for submitting his written representation, if any, on the findings of the Inquiry Officer under Rule 21(2) of A.P.C.S. (CC & A) Rules, 1991.

6. In the reference 7th read above, the charged officer submitted his written representation requesting to drop further action against him.

7. In the reference 8th cited, the Commissioner, APCRDA, Vijayawada, has been requested to examine the written representation of Sri Sk. Mehaboob, Junior Assistant (Retired), and furnish specific remarks to the Government for finalization of the disciplinary proceedings against the C.O.

8. In the reference 9th cited, the Commissioner, APCRDA confirmed that the Inquiry Officer submitted the report after verifying all records and showing the DCB Registers to the Charged Officer. It was stated that Sri Sk. Mahaboob, Junior Assistant (Retd.), handed over files to his superior without acknowledgment or informing higher authorities. This negligence facilitated to irregularities and caused damage to the reputation of the then VGTMUDA.

9. Government have examined the written representation of the charged officer; keeping in view the findings of the Inquiry Officer and provisionally decided to impose a penalty of "5% cut in pension for five years", under Rule-9 of A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980, on Sri Sk. Mahaboob, the then Junior Assistant (Retired), VGTMUDA(now APCRDA), as the charges framed against him are held proved.

10. In the reference 10th read above, a show cause notice was issued to Sri Sk. Mahaboob, the then Junior Assistant (now Retired), VGTMUDA (now APCRDA), with a direction to show cause as to why the above said penalty shall not be imposed against him under Rule 9 of A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980. In response, the Charged Officer submitted his explanation vide reference 11th read above; however, he did not present any new grounds for consideration.

11. After careful examination of the written representation of the Charged Officer; keeping in view the findings of the Inquiry Authority, Government have decided to impose a penalty of "5% cut in pension for a period of five (5) years" against Sri Sk. Mahaboob, the then Junior Assistant (Retired), VGTMUDA. 12. Accordingly, Government hereby impose a penalty of "5% cut in pension for a period of five (5) years" against Sri Sk. Mahaboob, the then Junior Assistant (Retired), VGTMUDA(now APCRDA),, under Rule-9 of A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980.

(Cont..3)

11. The Commissioner, APCRDA, Vijayawada, shall take necessary action in the matter accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

S. SURESH KUMAR
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
Sri Sk. Mahaboob, the then Junior Assistant (Retd), VGTMUDA(now APCRDA),
through the Commissioner, APCRDA, Vijayawada.
The Commissioner, APCRDA, Lenin Center, Governorpet, Vijayawada.
Copy to:
The Accountant General, A.P., Vijayawada.
The APVC, AP Secretariat, Velagapudi, Guntur.
The OSD to Hon'ble Minister (MA&UD)
The PS to Principal Secretary to Govt., MA&UD Department.
SF/SC

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER